



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virguita 22313-1450 www.tesplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/882,187 | 06/15/2001 | Annamaria Naggi | DI-5373 CON | 8866 |
| 29200 75 | 590 02/23/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORPORATION | | | LEWIS, PATRICK T | |
| RENAL DIVIS | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| DF3-3E DEERFIELD, IL 60015 | | | 1623 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/882,187 | NAGGI ET AL. | | | | |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Patrick T. Lewis | 1623 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic | ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office. | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or | | | | |
| timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (| CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o | eriod set forth in of the appeal. | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by | pelow); | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel | ing a corresponding number of f | inally rejected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | tion(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | idered but does NOT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY t | to issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | - | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | roved or b) disapproved by t | he Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | 7 | JAMES O. WILSON PERVISORY PATENT/EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY DEVITER 1600 | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Quel